9

10

11

12

13

14

16

18

19

20

practices alleged herein occurred in Prince George's County, Maryland, and caused injury to Plaintiff an Santa Clara County, California, which is within this judicial district.

3

2

4 5

7

8

6

9 10

12

11

14

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

## **PARTIES**

- 2. Plaintiff herein, JASON GLOVER, is and was at all times herein mentioned a citizen of the United States residing in Santa Clara County in California.
- Defendant PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY ("COUNTY") is a municipal corporation, 3. duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Maryland. The County operates under its authority the PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT and the OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF FOR PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY.
- 4. At all times mentioned herein, Defendant MELVIN HIGH ("HIGH") was employed as the Chief of Police for PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY POLICE DEPARTMENT. He is being sued in his official capacity as Chief of Police for Prince George's County Police Department.
- At all times mentioned herein, Defendant MICHAEL JACKSON ("JACKSON") was 5. employed as Sheriff for the Office of the Sheriff for PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY. He is being sued in his official capacity as Sheriff for PRINCE GEORGE"S COUNTY.
- 6. Defendant UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ("USA") is a municipal corporation, duly organized and existing under the laws of the United States of America. The USA operates under its authority the United States Marshal's Service.
- At all times mentioned herein, Defendant JOHN CLARK ("CLARK") was employed 7. as Director of the United States Marshal's Service. He is being sued in his official capacity as Director of the United States Marshal's Service.
- Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants DOES 1 through 8. 25, inclusive, and therefore sues these defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that each Defendant so named is responsible in some manner for the injuries and damages sustained by Plaintiff as set forth herein. Plaintiff will amend his complaint to state the names and capacities of DOES 1-25, inclusive, when they have been ascertained.
- 9. In engaging in the conduct described herein, Defendant Deputy Sheriffs, Police Officers and/or United States Marshals acted under the color of law and in the course and scope of their employment with the COUNTY and the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, respectively.

z

Defendant COUNTY and/or UNITED STATES OF AMERICA are therefore liable for the wrongful acts of their respective employees alleged herein under principles of respondeat superior.

9. For State causes of action, Plaintiff is required to comply with an administrative claim requirement under Maryland law. Plaintiff has complied with all applicable requirements.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 10. On April 3, 2007, Plaintiff JASON GLOVER was negligently arrested by unknown United States Marshals DOES 1-10 on a warrant alleging that Plaintiff was a fugitive from justice, pursuant to an arrest warrant issued by Defendant PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY charging Plaintiff with 39 counts of sexual assault. Plaintiff GLOVER, who in fact was not the subject of any outstanding arrest warrant, was booked into Santa Clara County jail at the direction of the unknown DOE Defendant UNITED STATES MARSHAL"S SERVICE employees.
- 11. Defendant PRINCE GEORGE'S COUNTY negligently confirmed that Plaintiff GLOVER was the subject of an outstanding arrest warrant issued by Defendant COUNTY and initiated extradition proceedings against Plaintiff, who was not allowed bail due to a fugitive hold.
- 12. After a high level of local publicity specifically erroneously named Plaintiff as being the fugitive from numerous sexual-assault related charges, Plaintiff GLOVER was released from custody after several days in jail, after a photographic identification by the victim of the alleged charges showed that Plaintiff GLOVER was not the man sought by the warrant issued by Defendant COUNTY.
- 13. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant COUNTY, by and through Sheriff JACKSON, Chief HIGH, employee DOES at the Police Department, and/or at the Sheriff's Department, negligently issued an arrest warrant on serious felony charges without providing reasonable identification of the actual alleged perpetrator of the crimes for which the warrant was issued.
- 14. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant USA, by and through Director CLARK and/or employee DOES of Defendant USA, was negligent in arresting Plaintiff without taking reasonable measures to ensure that Plaintiff was in fact the person sought by the arrest warrant issued by Defendant COUNTY.

7

16

25

15. As a proximate result of the negligent arrest of Plaintiff GLOVER by Defendants, and/or each of them, Plaintiff suffered severe damages including severe emotional distress, damage to his reputation, and imprisonment for several days.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence)

- Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference herein paragraphs 1 through 15 of this 16. complaint, except for any and all allegations of intentional, malicious, extreme, outrageous, wanton, and oppressive conduct by defendants, and any and all allegations requesting punitive damages.
- 17. At all times herein mentioned, each individually-named defendant herein was subject to a duty of care to avoid causing unnecessary physical harm and distress to persons through the negligent issuing of arrest warrants and the negligent making of arrests pursuant to arrest warrants. The wrongful conduct of each individually-named Defendant, as set forth herein, did not comply with the standard of care to be exercised by reasonable persons, proximately causing plaintiff to suffer injuries and damages as set forth herein. Pursuant to Government Code Section 815.2(a), Defendants COUNTY and USA are vicariously liable to Plaintiff for his injuries and damages suffered as alleged herein, incurred as a proximate result of the aforementioned wrongful conduct of Defendants.
- 18. As a proximate result of Defendants' negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered significant wrongful physical incarceration, severe emotional and mental distress, injury having a traumatic effect on Plaintiff's emotional tranquility, and damages.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief as hereinafter set forth.

JURY DEMAND

19. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury trial in this action.

## **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief, as follows:

- 1. For general damages in a sum exceeding \$75,000.00;
- 2. For special damages in a sum according to proof;
- 3. For cost of suit herein incurred; and
- 4. For such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 17, 2008

The Law Offices of John L. Burris

John L. Burris
Attorney for Plainti

JS 44 - CAND (D. Cas	se 5:08-cv-02043-	PV6 Pd Clase	male) _Filedy04	₩8/2008 - Pac	ge 1 of 1	
				/ T/N_2//Y	eadings or other papers as required ottember 1974, is required for the use	
I. (a) PI AINTIETO	the purpose of initiating the	nis form, approved by to civil docket sheet	he Judicial Conference of	e filing and service of pl	eadings or other naners as	
JASON GLOVER		-: 0::00:: (0:	E INSTRUCTIONS ON P	AGE TWO)	stember 1974, is required for the use	
ODOVER			NON   1   2			
			COLDOLARY	PRINCE GEORGE COUNTY, a municipal corporation; et al.		
			OCTPOIACIO	n; et al.		
(b) -						
(D) COUNTY OF RESIDEN	CE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF	Santa Clama				
(EXCEP	(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF <u>Santa Clara</u> (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDAL	AIT.	
			I CINI	(INITIS DIAIN) (III		
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NA	(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)			NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.		
LAW OFFICES OF TOTAL State Bar #69888			ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)		
7677 Oakport s	S					
Oakland, CA	94612	.120				
<u> </u>	`					
II. BASIS OF JURISE	DICTION (PLACE AN 'X' IN (	ONE BOX ONLY!				
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	i (Fi	TIZENSHIP OF PRI	NCIPAL PARTIES	(DI AOS AVIII)	
2 U.S. Government	(U.S. Government N	Not a Party)	PTE	PLAIN	(PLACE AN 'X' IN ONE BOX FOR ITIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)	
Defendant	X 4 Diversity	Offizen o	This State r== =	_ 1	DTC A	
	(Indicate Citizenship in Item III)	Parties Citizen of	ÂND/O	of Business In 71		
·		1	Problem 4	of Rusiness In A	rinai	
IV. ORIGIN		I carejan (	Country	3 Foreign Nation	orner State	
Original Proceeding	Removed from Rema	<i>inded</i> from □ Ro	N ONE BOX ONLY)			
	Appel	late Court otel	instated or Transferre opened Another d	ed from Multidis	strict Appeart to T	
V. NATURE OF SUIT	(PLACE AN "X" IN ONE	BOYONIA	(specify)	Istrict Litigation	Judge from Magistrate	
CONTRACT  110 Insurance	_				Judgment	
120 Marine	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER OTHER	
130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument	315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug	422 Appeal 28 USC 1	OTHER STATUTES  400 State Reapportionment	
150 Recovery of Overneyment	Liability  320 Assault Libel &	Med. Malpractice 365 Personal Injury	625 Drug Related	423 Withdrawal	- 4TO Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act	Slander  330 Federal Employers	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	Seizure of Property 21 USC 881	28 USC 157	430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	Injury Product Liabilit	y 2 630 Liquor Laws	- ROPERTY RIGHTS	LJ 460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excl Veterans Recovery of Overpayment	340 Marine Coduct	PERSONAL PROPERTY	640 RR & Truck 650 Airline Regs.	820 Copyrights	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
Of Veteran's Benefits	Liability	370 Other Fraud	660 Occupational	B30 Patent	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/	
160 Stockholders Suits 190 Other Contract	350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	Safety/Health	SOCIAL SECURITY	Exchange	
195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability	380 Other Personal Property Damage	LABOR	881 HIA (1395ff)	875 Customer Challenge	
196 Franchise  REAL PROPERTY	X 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Damage	710 Fair Labor	862 Black Lung (923)	891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization	
	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Standards Act	B63 DIWC/DIWW	Act	
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting 442 Employment	510 Motion to Vacate	720 Labor/Mgmt Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt	(405(g)) 864 SSID Title XVI	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act	
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing 444 Welfare	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	Reporting &	865 RSI (405(g))	895 Freedom of	
240 Torts to Land	440 Other Civil Rights	530 General 535 Death Penalty	Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Information Act 900 Appeal of Fee	
245 Tort Product Liability	445 Amer w/disab - Empl	540 Mandamus &	790 Other Labor Litigation	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	Determination Under Equal Access to Justice	
290 All Other Real Property	480 Cansumer Credit	Other 550 Civil Rights	791 Empl. Ret. inc.	871 IRS - Third Party	∟ 950 Constitutionality of	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	490 Cable/Satellite TV	555 Prison Condition	Security Act	26 USC 7609	State Statutes  890 Other Statutory Actions	
DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL	(CITE THE US CIVIL STA L STATUTES UNLESS DIV	ATUTE UNDER WHICH	YOU ARE FILING AND	NRITE A RRIEF STATE	The state of Actions	
		(20011) 42 US	§§1332 and 13	346	MENT OF CAUSE.	
VII. REQUESTED IN	7					
COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS IS A CL	ASS ACTION DEMAN	ND\$ 75,000	AT OUT ON THE		
	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23			JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY PLEASE REFER TO CIVIL L.R. 3-12 CONCERNING REQUIREMENT TO FILE "NOTICE OF RELATED CASE".  IX. DIVISIONAL ASSIGNMENT (CIVIL L.R. 3-2) (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ON 100")						
DAPE SAN FRANCISCO/DAY AND						
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF PROOPS						
April 18, 2008						
			JOH	N L. BURRIS		
					J 100 1044	